

State of Misconsin LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

RESEARCH APPENDIX - PLEASE DO NOT REMOVE FROM DRAFTING FILE

Date Added To File: 04/17/2003

(Per: GMM)

The drafting file for 2001 LRB –4738

has been transferred to the drafting file for

2003 LRB -2550

The attached 2001 draft was incorporated into the new 2003 draft listed above. For research purposes, this cover sheet and the complete drafting file were transferred, as a separate appendix, to the 2003 drafting file. If introduced this section will be scanned and added, as a separate appendix, to the electronic drafting file folder.

1	D	•	1	1
	D	н	П	П

Received:	01/18/2002				Received By: mal	aigm	
Wanted: A	As time permi	its		Identical to LRB:			
For: DuW	ayne Johnsr	ud (608) 266-3	534		By/Representing:	Larry Konap	acki
This file n	nay be shown	to any legislato	r: NO		Drafter: malaigm		
May Cont	act:				Addl. Drafters:		
Subject:	Discrim	ination - emplo	oyment		Extra Copies:		
Submit vi	a email: YES						•
Requester	's email:	Rep.Johnsr	rud@legis.st	ate.wi.us			
Carbon co	ppy (CC:) to:						
Pre Topic	C:						
No specifi	c pre topic giv	ven					
Topic:							
Filing dea	dline for empl	loyment discrim	nination com	plaints and ju	ust cause for termin	nating employe	e over 40
Instruction	ons:	·					
which emp	ation complair ployee learns l st cause.	it begins on day	emloyee is	terminated of	day period for filing r on last day emplo loyer from termina	vee works, not	on day on 40 or over
Drafting	History:				•		
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
/?	malaigm 01/31/2002	csicilia 02/13/2002					S&L
/1			kfollet	····	lrb_docadmin	lrb_docadmi	n .

02/25/2002 02:36:47 PM Page 2

Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
			02/13/20	02	02/13/2002 lrb_docadmin 02/25/2002	02/25/2002	
777 C .	-						

FE Sent For:

<**END>**

-	_	•		-
		Ξ		
	١.		•	

Received:	01/18/2002			,	Received By: mal	aigm	
Wanted: A	As time perm	its		Identical to LRB:			
For: DuW	ayne Johnsr	ud (608) 266-3	534		By/Representing:]	Larry Konap	acki
This file r	nay be shown	to any legislator	r: NO		Drafter: malaigm		
May Cont	tact:				Addl. Drafters:		
Subject:	Discrim	ination - emplo	yment		Extra Copies:		
Submit vi	a email: YES						
Requester	's email:	Rep.Johnsr	nd@legis.st	ate.wi.us			
Carbon co	opy (CC:) to:				•		
Pre Topi	c:						
No specif	ic pre topic gi	ven					
Topic:			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			7
Filing dea	dline for emp	loyment discrim	ination com	plaints and ju	st cause for termin	nating employe	e over 40
Instruction	ons:						
which em without ju	ation complair ployee learns ist cause.	nt begins on day	emloyee is ninated. 2. l	terminated or	ay period for filing on last day emplo oyer from terminat	vee works, not	on day on 40 or over
Drafting			•				
Vers.	<u>Drafted</u>	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
/?	malaigm 01/31/2002	csicilia 02/13/2002					S&L
/1		,	kfollet		lrb_docadmin		

Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	<u>Proofed</u>	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required
			02/13/200	2	0/2/13/2002		
					rb_docadmin/		
					(02/25/2002 /		
						1	
FE Sent I	For:				Via	emuil	
				<end></end>	byr	equest	
					(W)	2/25	

1	D	•	1	1	ı
	`	ı	ı	П	

FE Sent For:

Receive	d: 01/18/2002			Received By: malaigm						
Wanted	As time perm	its			Identical to LRB:					
For: D u	Wayne Johnsr	rud (608) 266-	3534		By/Representing:	Larry Kona	packi			
This file	may be shown	to any legislat	or: NO		Drafter: malaigm	l				
May Co	ntact:				Addl. Drafters:					
Subject:	Discrim	ination - emp	loyment		Extra Copies:					
Submit	via email: NO				£					
Pre To	pic:									
No spec	ific pre topic gi	iven								
Topic:						· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Filing d	eadline for emp	loyment discri	nination co	mplaints and	just cause for termi	nating employ	yee over 40			
Instruc	tions:									
which e	nation complai	nt begins on da	y emloyee i:	s terminated o	day period for filing or on last day emplople ployer from termina	ovee works n	ot on day on			
Draftin	g History:									
Vers.	Drafted	Reviewed	<u>Typed</u>	Proofed	Submitted	<u>Jacketed</u>	Required			
/?	malaigm 01/31/2002	csicilia 02/13/2002		·			S&L			
/1			kfollet 02/13/200	02	lrb_docadmin 02/13/2002					

<**END>**

Bill

Received: 01/18/2002

Received By: malaigm

Wanted: As time permits

Identical to LRB:

For: DuWayne Johnsrud (608) 266-3534

By/Representing: Larry Konapacki

This file may be shown to any legislator: NO

Drafter: malaigm

May Contact:

Addl. Drafters:

Subject:

Discrimination - employment

Extra Copies:

Submit via email: NO

Pre Topic:

No specific pre topic given

Topic:

Filing deadline for employment discrimination complaints and just cause for terminating employee over 40

Instructions:

See Attached--1, Provide that starting point for measuring 300-day period for filing employment discrimination complaint begins on day emloyee is terminated or on last day employee works, not on day on which employee learns he or she is terminated. 2. Prohibit employer from terminating employee 40 or over without just cause.

Drafting History:

Vers.

Drafted

Typed

Proofed

Submitted

Jacketed

Required

/?

malaigm

FE Sent For:

/1 0/5 2/13

Reviewed

<END>

BILL REQUEST FORM

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU Legal Section Telephone: 266-3561 5th Floor, 100 N. Hamilton Street

Use of this form is optional. It is often better to talk directly with the LRB attorney who will draft the bill. Use this form only for BILL drafts. Attach pages if necessary.	more
Legislator, agency or other body requesting this draft: Rep. Johns ml	
Date: 1/15/02 Person submitting request (name, phone number): Lang Konopaeki 6-353	<u>,</u>
Persons to contact for questions about this draft (names, phone numbers): 6-3534	
Describe the problem, including any helpful examples. How do you want to solve the problem?	
Please druft legislation to require that the 300 day per	rod.
uring which an employee can file a complaint with the Equal Right	s Ohis
egn after the last day aperson actually works in the position	h, ni
ginning when a parson finds out they are terminated unless that is the position of the passe cantact us to let us know who will be drafting this. The Please attach a copy of any correspondence or other material that may help us. If you know of any statute sections that might be affected, list them or provide a marked-up (no typed) copy.	- San, ank you
You may attach a marked-up (not retyped) copy of any LRB draft, or provide its number (e.g., LRB-2345/1 or 1995 AB-67):	1997
Requests are confidential unless stated otherwise.	
May we tell others that we are working on this for you? Yes No Yes No Yes No Only the following persons: Any legislator? Only the following persons:	
Yes No Do you consider this request urgent?	
Should we give this request priority over any other pending request of this legislator, agency body? If yes, sign your name here: Yes No	y or

BILL REQUEST FORM

LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU Legal Section Telephone: 266-3561 5th Floor, 100 N. Hamilton Street

Use of this form is optional. It is often better to talk directly with the LRB attorney who will draft the bill.	Use this form only for BILL drafts. Attach more pages if necessary.
Legislator, agency or other body requesting this draft. Date: \(\lambda \lambda \rangle 0 \) Person submitting request (name, p	thone number): Larry Konopack' - C-3534
Persons to contact for questions about this draft (name	es, phone numbers): Larry Konopaclei -6-3534
Describe the problem, including any helpful examp	ples.
Please draft tegis lation to re	quine an employer to show "just-4
then firmy an employee over the inter to is worst protected class. I	age of 40 (or 40 + above to had
Please contact as to let as	know who will be draffing this. The
typed) copy	be affected, list them or provide a marked-up (not re-
LRB-2345/1 or 1995 AB-67):	y of any LRB draft, or provide its number (e.g., 1997
 Requests are confidential unless stated otherwise. May we tell others that we are working on the Yes No If yes: Anyone who asks? Any legisle 	nis for you?
Yes No Do you consider this request urgent? If yes,	, please indicate why:
Should we give this request priority over any oth body? If yes, sign your name here: Yes No	ner pending request of this legislator, agency or



State of Misconsin 2001/-2002 LEGISLATURE

LRB_4738/? ²/ GMM:......

& cjs

(6°r)

ODA

AN ACT ...; relating to: prohibiting an employer from terminating the employment of an employee who is 40 years of age or over without just cause and the time within which an employment discrimination complaint in which the act charged is termination of employment must be filed.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, subject to certain exceptions, the employer-employee relationship is governed by the employment-at-will doctrine, under which an employer may discharge an employee "for good cause, for no cause, or even for a cause morally wrong, without being thereby guilty of a legal wrong." Hauseman v. St. Croix Care Center, 214 Wis. 2d 655 (1997). Currently, one of the exceptions to the employment-at-will doctrine is the fair employment law, which prohibits discrimination on various bases including discrimination on the basis of age against a person 40 years of age or over. This bill specifies that employment discrimination because of age includes terminating the employment of an employee who is 40 years of age or over without just cause.

The bill provides, however, that it is not employment discrimination because of age to terminate the employment of an employee because of misconduct, malfeasance, inefficiency, or neglect of duty connected with the employee's employment or to lay off an employee due to a work stoppage or a lack of work or funds or due to any conditions in which continuation of the employee's employment would be inefficient or nonproductive. In addition, the bill excludes from its coverage temporary employees, probationary employees, employees covered by a collective bargaining agreement that prohibits termination of employment without just cause, public officers who are appointed to serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority,

and state and local government employees, such as University of Wisconsin System faculty and academic staff, law enforcement officers, and employees covered under a civil service system, for whom termination of employment for cause is already governed under procedures specified under current law.

Under current law, the department of workforce development (DWD) or, in the case of a state employee, the personnel commission may receive and investigate a complaint charging discrimination in employment if the complaint is filed with DWD or the personnel commission no more than 300 days after the alleged discrimination occurred. In cases in which the act of discrimination charged is termination of employment, the date on which the alleged discrimination occurred is considered to be the date on which the employee was notified of the termination and not the date on which the termination took effect. Hilmes v. DILHR, 147 Wis. 2d 48 (Ct. App 1988). This bill permits DWD or the personnel commission to receive and investigate a complaint in which the act of discrimination charged is termination of employment if the complaint is filed with DWD or the personnel commission no more than 300 days after the date on which the employer notified the employee of the termination or the date on which the termination took effect, whichever is later.

For further information see the **state and local** fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 111.33 (1m) of the statutes is created to read:

111.33 (1m) (a) In this subsection:

1. "Probationary period" means, in the case of an employee who is required under a civil service system or a collective bargaining agreement to serve a probationary period on original appointment, the probationary period that the employee is required to serve under that system or agreement before achieving permanent status or, in the case of an employee other than an employee who is required under a civil service system or a collective bargaining agreement to serve a probationary period on original appointment, a reasonable probationary period established by the employer that is no longer than necessary to ensure that the employee has the knowledge, skills, and abilities to competently perform the required tasks of the employee's position.

- 2. "Temporary employee" means an employee who is employed for a brief, nonrecurrent period with no reasonable expectation that the employee's position will continue indefinitely or for a significant period of time.
- (b) Subject to par. (c) and sub. (2) (a), employment discrimination because of age includes terminating the employment of an employee who is age 40 or over without just cause.
- (c) Paragraph (b) does not apply to a temporary employee, an employee serving a probationary period, an employee who is covered under a collective bargaining agreement that prohibits termination of employment without just cause, a public officer who is appointed to serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority, or an employee of the state or of a local governmental unit for whom termination of employment for cause is governed by the procedures specified in s. 17.14, 17.16 (3), 21.28 (3), 21.37, 36.13 (5), 36.15 (3), 59.26 (8) (b), 59.52 (8), 60.56 (1) (am), 61.65 (1) (am), 62.13 (5) or (6m), 62.50 (11) to (22), 63.10, 63.43, 63.44, 66.0509, 118.22, 118.23, 119.42, or 230.44 (1) (c).

SECTION 2. 111.33 (2) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:

111.33 (2) (intro.) Notwithstanding sub. subs. (1) and (1m) and s. 111.322, it is not employment discrimination because of age to do any of the following:

SECTION 3. 111.33 (2) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:

111.33 (2) (a) To terminate the employment of any employee because the employee is physically or otherwise unable to perform his or her duties or because of misconduct, malfeasance, inefficiency, or neglect of duty connected with the employee's employment or to lay off any employee due to a work stoppage or a lack

of work or funds or due to any conditions in which continuation of the employee's (Subsection employment would be inefficient or nonproductive.

History: 1981 c. 334; 1983 a. 391, 538. SECTION 4. 111.39 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

111.39 (1) The Except as provided in this paragraph, the department may receive and investigate a complaint charging discrimination, discriminatory practices, unfair honesty testing, or unfair genetic testing in a particular case if the complaint is filed with the department no more than 300 days after the date on which the alleged discrimination, discriminatory practice, unfair honesty testing, or unfair genetic testing occurred. The department may receive and investigate a complaint in which the act charged is termination of employment if the complaint is filed with the department no more than 300 days after the date on which the employer notified the employee of the termination or the date on which the termination took effect. whichever is later. The department may give publicity to its findings in the case.

. 1977 c. 29, 196, 1979 c. 221, 3<u>19, 355, 1981 c. 394 ss. 20, 25 (2);</u> Stats. 1981 s. 111.39; 1983 a. 122; 1989 a. 228, 1991 a. 117; 1995 **SECTION 5.** 230.44 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

230.44 (3) Time limits. Any Except as provided in this subsection, any appeal filed under this section may not be heard unless the appeal is filed within 30 days after the effective date of the action, or within 30 days after the appellant is notified of the action, whichever is later, except that if. If the appeal alleges discrimination under subch. II of ch. 111, the time limit for that part of the appeal alleging such discrimination shall be 300 days after the alleged discrimination occurred, except that if the act alleged is termination of employment, the time limit for that part of the appeal alleging that act shall be 300 days after the date on which the appointing

authority notified the employee of the termination or the date on which the termination took effect, whichever is later.

History: 1977 c. 196; 1979 c. 221, 1981 c. 140, 1983 a. 27; 1989 a. 31; 1991 a. 269; 1993 a. 16; 1995 a. 27; 1997 a. 307; 1999 a. 162. **SECTION 6. Initial applicability.**

(1) FILING OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS. This act first applies to an employee who is notified on the effective date of this subsection that his or her employment is being terminated.

(END)

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-4738/1dn GMM/J......



Representative Johnsrud:

The draft is a bit more involved than simply prohibiting an employer from terminating an employee 40 years of age or over without just cause. Specifically:

- 1. The draft does not apply to a temporary employee because a temporary employee understands when he or she is hired that the job will not last forever.
- 2. The draft also does not apply to a probationary employee because current s. 230.44 (1) (c) under which a state employee may be terminated only for just cause does not apply until the employee has passed his or her probationary period. As such, it would conflict with current law to say that a probationary employee 40 years of age or over may only be terminated for just cause.
- 3. Similarly, numerous statutes provide for various appointed public officers to serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority. Again, it would conflict with current law to provide that a public officer 40 years of age or over who is serving at the pleasure of the person who appointed him or her may be terminated only for just cause.
- 4. In addition, the draft excludes from its coverage an employee who is covered under a collective bargaining agreement or a civil service system that already protects the employee from termination without just cause because procedures are already in place for such an employee to grieve his or her termination.
- 5. Finally, the draft spells out a few scenarios that would constitute just cause for termination, specifically, misconduct, malfeasance, inefficiency, or neglect of duty or a layoff due to a work stoppage or a lack of work of funds or due to any condition in which continuation of employment would be inefficient or nonproductive. See, e.g., ss. 17 16 (2) and 111.90 (3).

If you would like to discuss the draft or any of the points raised in this drafter's note, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at the phone number or e-mail address listed below.

Gordon M. Malaise Senior Legislative Attorney Phone: (608) 266–9738

E-mail: gordon malaise@legis.state.wi.us

DRAFTER'S NOTE FROM THE LEGISLATIVE REFERENCE BUREAU

LRB-4738/1dn CMM:rs&cjs:kjf

February 13, 2002

Representative Johnsrud:

The draft is a bit more involved than simply prohibiting an employer from terminating an employee 40 years of age or over without just cause. Specifically:

- 1. The draft does not apply to a temporary employee because a temporary employee understands when he or she is hired that the job will not last forever.
- 2. The draft also does not apply to a probationary employee because current s. 230.44 (1) (c) under which a state employee may be terminated only for just cause does not apply until the employee has passed his or her probationary period. As such, it would conflict with current law to say that a probationary employee 40 years of age or over may only be terminated for just cause.
- 3. Similarly, numerous statutes provide for various appointed public officers to serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority. Again, it would conflict with current law to provide that a public officer 40 years of age or over who is serving at the pleasure of the person who appointed him or her may be terminated only for just cause.
- 4. In addition, the draft excludes from its coverage an employee who is covered under a collective bargaining agreement or a civil service system that already protects the employee from termination without just cause because procedures are already in place for such an employee to grieve his or her termination.
- 5. Finally, the draft spells out a few scenarios that would constitute just cause for termination, specifically, misconduct, malfeasance, inefficiency, or neglect of duty or a layoff due to a work stoppage or a lack of work or funds or due to any condition in which continuation of employment would be inefficient or nonproductive. See, e.g., ss. 17.16 (2) and 111.90 (3).

If you would like to discuss the draft or any of the points raised in this drafter's note, please do not hesitate to contact me directly at the phone number or e-mail address listed below.

Gordon M. Malaise Senior Legislative Attorney

Phone: (608) 266-9738

E-mail: gordon.malaise@legis.state.wi.us

Beam, Laura

From:

Sent:

Konopacki, Larry Monday, February 25, 2002 1:56 PM

To:

LRB.Legal

Subject:

Draft review: LRB-4738/1 Topic: Filing deadline for employment discrimination complaints and

just cause for terminating employee over 40

It has been requested by <Konopacki, Larry> that the following draft be jacketed for the ASSEMBLY:

Draft review: LRB-4738/1 Topic: Filing deadline for employment discrimination complaints and just cause for terminating employee over 40

1

2

3

4

5

2001 BILL

AN ACT to amend 111.33 (2) (intro.), 111.33 (2) (a), 111.39 (1) and 230.44 (3); and to create 111.33 (1m) of the statutes; relating to: prohibiting an employer from terminating the employment of an employee who is 40 years of age or over without just cause and the time within which an employment discrimination complaint in which the act charged is termination of employment must be filed.

Analysis by the Legislative Reference Bureau

Under current law, subject to certain exceptions, the employer–employee relationship is governed by the employment–at–will doctrine, under which an employer may discharge an employee "for good cause, for no cause, or even for a cause morally wrong, without being thereby guilty of a legal wrong." Hauseman v. St. Croix Care Center, 214 Wis. 2d 655 (1997). Currently, one of the exceptions to the employment–at–will doctrine is the fair employment law, which prohibits discrimination on various bases including discrimination on the basis of age against a person 40 years of age or over. This bill specifies that employment discrimination because of age includes terminating the employment of an employee who is 40 years of age or over without just cause.

The bill provides, however, that it is not employment discrimination because of age to terminate the employment of an employee because of misconduct, malfeasance, inefficiency, or neglect of duty connected with the employee's employment or to lay off an employee due to a work stoppage or a lack of work or funds or due to any conditions in which continuation of the employee's employment

BILL

1

2

3

1

5

6

7

8

9

10

would be inefficient or nonproductive. In addition, the bill excludes from its coverage temporary employees, probationary employees, employees covered by a collective bargaining agreement that prohibits termination of employment without just cause, public officers who are appointed to serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority, and state and local government employees, such as University of Wisconsin System faculty and academic staff, law enforcement officers, and employees covered under a civil service system, for whom termination of employment for cause is already governed under procedures specified under current law.

Under current law, the department of workforce development (DWD) or, in the case of a state employee, the personnel commission may receive and investigate a complaint charging discrimination in employment if the complaint is filed with DWD or the personnel commission no more than 300 days after the alleged discrimination occurred. In cases in which the act of discrimination charged is termination of employment, the date on which the alleged discrimination occurred is considered to be the date on which the employee was notified of the termination and not the date on which the termination took effect. Hilmes v. DILHR, 147 Wis. 2d 48 (Ct. App 1988). This bill permits DWD or the personnel commission to receive and investigate a complaint in which the act of discrimination charged is termination of employment if the complaint is filed with DWD or the personnel commission no more than 300 days after the date on which the employer notified the employee of the termination or the date on which the termination took effect, whichever is later.

For further information see the *state and local* fiscal estimate, which will be printed as an appendix to this bill.

The people of the state of Wisconsin, represented in senate and assembly, do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. 111.33 (1m) of the statutes is created to read:

111.33 (1m) (a) In this subsection:

1. "Probationary period" means, in the case of an employee who is required under a civil service system or a collective bargaining agreement to serve a probationary period on original appointment, the probationary period that the employee is required to serve under that system or agreement before achieving permanent status or, in the case of an employee other than an employee who is required under a civil service system or a collective bargaining agreement to serve a probationary period on original appointment, a reasonable probationary period established by the employer that is no longer than necessary to ensure that the

BILL

employee	has	the	knowledge,	skills,	and	abilities	to	competently	perform	the
required t	asks	of th	ne employee'	s positi	on.					

- 2. "Temporary employee" means an employee who is employed for a brief, nonrecurrent period with no reasonable expectation that the employee's position will continue indefinitely or for a significant period of time.
- (b) Subject to par. (c) and sub. (2) (a), employment discrimination because of age includes terminating the employment of an employee who is age 40 or over without just cause.
- (c) Paragraph (b) does not apply to a temporary employee, an employee serving a probationary period, an employee who is covered under a collective bargaining agreement that prohibits termination of employment without just cause, a public officer who is appointed to serve at the pleasure of the appointing authority, or an employee of the state or of a local governmental unit for whom termination of employment for cause is governed by the procedures specified in s. 17.14, 17.16 (3), 21.28 (3), 21.37, 36.13 (5), 36.15 (3), 59.26 (8) (b), 59.52 (8), 60.56 (1) (am), 61.65 (1) (am), 62.13 (5) or (6m), 62.50 (11) to (22), 63.10, 63.43, 63.44, 66.0509, 118.22, 118.23, 119.42, or 230.44 (1) (c).
 - SECTION 2. 111.33 (2) (intro.) of the statutes is amended to read:
- 111.33 (2) (intro.) Notwithstanding sub. subs. (1) and (1m) and s. 111.322, it is not employment discrimination because of age to do any of the following:
 - **Section 3.** 111.33 (2) (a) of the statutes is amended to read:
- 111.33 (2) (a) To terminate the employment of any employee <u>because the</u> employee is physically or otherwise unable to perform his or her duties <u>or because</u> of misconduct, malfeasance, inefficiency, or neglect of duty connected with the employee's employment or to lay off any employee due to a work stoppage or a lack

BILL

of work or funds or due to any conditions in which continuation of the employee's employment would be inefficient or nonproductive.

SECTION 4. 111.39 (1) of the statutes is amended to read:

111.39 (1) The Except as provided in this subsection, the department may receive and investigate a complaint charging discrimination, discriminatory practices, unfair honesty testing, or unfair genetic testing in a particular case if the complaint is filed with the department no more than 300 days after the date on which the alleged discrimination, discriminatory practice, unfair honesty testing, or unfair genetic testing occurred. The department may receive and investigate a complaint in which the act charged is termination of employment if the complaint is filed with the department no more than 300 days after the date on which the employer notified the employee of the termination or the date on which the termination took effect, whichever is later. The department may give publicity to its findings in the case.

Section 5. 230.44 (3) of the statutes is amended to read:

230.44 (3) TIME LIMITS. Any Except as provided in this subsection, any appeal filed under this section may not be heard unless the appeal is filed within 30 days after the effective date of the action, or within 30 days after the appealant is notified of the action, whichever is later, except that if. If the appeal alleges discrimination under subch. II of ch. 111, the time limit for that part of the appeal alleging such discrimination shall be 300 days after the alleged discrimination occurred, except that if the act alleged is termination of employment, the time limit for that part of the appeal alleging that act shall be 300 days after the date on which the appointing authority notified the employee of the termination or the date on which the termination took effect, whichever is later.

SECTION 6. Initial applicability.

B	\mathbf{II}	${f L}$

1

2

3

4

	(1) FILING OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION COMPLAINTS. This act first applied	s to
	an employee who is notified on the effective date of this subsection that his or	her
	employment is being terminated.	

(END)